Effects of exercise training on skeletal muscle fiber type distribution in chronic heart failure patients.
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Abstract

Background: Patients with heart failure (HF) are characterized by several skeletal muscle abnormalities that are closely related to their exercise intolerance. Aim of this study was to investigate the effects of three different interval exercise training programs on skeletal muscle fiber-type distribution and aerobic capacity of HF patients.

Method and Material: The study consisted of 10 HF patients that participated in a rehabilitation program 3 times per week, for a total of 36 sessions. Patients performed cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) and percutaneous needle biopsy of the vastus lateralis muscle before and after the completion of the program. Histological measurements included the fiber type distribution and cross section area (CSA) of skeletal muscle fiber. Main CPET measurements included oxygen uptake at peak (VO₂p), at anaerobic threshold (AT), peak work (WRp) and the slope of ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide output (VE/VCO₂slope).

Results: After the exercise training program there was a significant increase in the CSA of type I (4509±1184 to 4862±1154 μm², p<0.001) and type II (from 4408±1166 to 4937±1160 μm², p<0.001) fibers. The proportion of aerobic type I fibers increased significantly from 43±11 to 51±6% (p=0.05). The percentage change of type I CSA was significantly correlated with the percentage change of WRp (r=0.814, p=0.004). WRp, VO₂p, AT increased significantly (108±36 to 129±49 watts, p=0.008; 17.7±4.2 to 19.7±4.1 ml/kg/min, p=0.024; 12.0±3.3 to 13.5±4.1 ml/kg/min, p=0.05, respectively) and VE/VCO₂slope decreased (35.1±8.0 to 31.3±5.7, p=0.045).

Conclusions: The results indicate that interval exercise training might have an anabolic effect by increasing the CSA of muscle fibers and could improve aerobic capacity probably by inducing a reshift of fiber type distribution in HF patients.
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Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is associated with severe exercise intolerance consisting of fatigue and dyspnea at low exercise workloads. These symptoms were thought to result from central hemodynamic derangements. However, significant skeletal muscular pathology was found in HF, presenting with a reshift to anaerobic fibers, reduced capillarization and volume density of mitochondria that contribute to the decreased exercise capacity of these patients.\(^1,2\)

Exercise training induces peripheral muscle adaptations in HF patients and appears to be a suitable intervention to enhance their functional capacity. Exercise by continuous aerobic training has been shown to improve the skeletal muscle myopathy, the endothelium, the autonomous nervous system derangement, the functional capacity and survival of HF patients.\(^3\)-\(^8\)

Interval exercise training is closer to patients’ daily activities and by applying higher exercise intensity seems to induce pronounced exercise stimuli on peripheral muscles with minimal cardiac strain.\(^9\) Recent studies have shown that high intensity interval aerobic training improves the aerobic capacity\(^8,10\), the endothelium and the myocardial contractility\(^10\) in HF patients. The addition of strength training improves the microcirculation\(^11\), the respiratory drive\(^12\) and it seems to induce greater beneficial effect on muscle strength\(^13\) and vascular reactivity than interval exercise training alone\(^14\). A recent meta-analysis suggests that combined interval training with strength training elicits larger benefits in aerobic capacity and that interval exercise was superior to continuous exercise training\(^15\), indicating that interval exercise could be a valid alternative modality of training in HF patients.

However, the effects of interval aerobic training in the skeletal muscle characteristics of patients with HF and the prescription of the ideal form of exercise (type, intensity and duration), remain a challenging field for investigation.

We hypothesized that different protocols of interval exercise training including high intensity interval with or without the addition of strength training would have beneficial effects on skeletal muscle histology of patients with HF.

The aim of this case study was to investigate the effect of different interval exercise training regimens on skeletal muscle fiber-type distribution and metabolic profile in HF patients.

METHODOLOGY

Population study

The population consisted of 10 stable (males, age: 56±13 yrs, BMI: 27±4 kg/m\(^2\)) patients with HF at optimal medical treatment. Patients were referred to the Cardiopulmonary Rehabilitation Center from the Heart Failure Clinic of our Institution. All patients underwent serial evaluation with cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) and skeletal muscle biopsy before and after a 3 month rehabilitation program. The baseline characteristics of the patients are listed in Table 1. Informed consent was obtained from all patients, and the study was approved by the Human Study Committee of our Institution (No.4522/20.11.07). The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki.

Design of the study

All patients underwent exercise endurance training on electro-magnetically braked cycle ergometers (Cateye Ergociser, EC1600; Tokyo, Japan) 3 times per week, for a total of 36 sessions. Patients participated in three different protocols:

1) Three patients were assigned to a high intensity
interval training, exercised for 3 min at 50% of oxygen uptake at peak exercise (VO₂p) followed by 4 cycles alternating 4 min of exercise at 80% of VO₂p with 3 min at 50% of VO₂p for a total duration of 31 min (Modified Wisloff protocol[15]).

2) Four patients were assigned to a high intensity interval training combined with strength training, exercised for 3 min at 50% of VO₂p followed be 2 cycles alternating 4 min of exercise at 80% of VO₂p with 3 min at 50% of VO₂p for a total duration of 17 min combined with 14 min of strength training consisting of 4 sets of 10-12 repetitions at 60-70% of one repetition maximum for the hamstrings and quadriceps.

3) Three patients were assigned to interval exercise training, exercised at the 100% of WRp, alternating 30 sec of exercise with 30 sec of rest, for 40 min.

Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing

After 2 minutes of rest followed by 3 minutes of unloaded pedaling, subjects performed a ramp-incremental exercise test, with individual work rate increments calculated using the equation published by Hansen et al[16], to aim for a test of 8 to 12 minutes duration. WRp was defined as the highest work rate reached and maintained at a pedaling frequency of no less than 50 rounds per minute for 30 seconds. Gas exchange was studied with the patient breathing through a low resistance valve, with a model Cosmed (Cosmed, Quark PFT, Italy) calibrated with a known gas mixture before each test. Oxygen uptake (VO₂), carbon dioxide output (VCO₂) and ventilation (VE) were measured breath-by-breath at rest, throughout exercise, and for the first 5 minutes of recovery. Baseline VO₂ was the average of measurements for 2 minutes before exercise, with the patient seated. Peripheral oxygen saturation was monitored continuously by pulse oxymetry. Heart rate and rhythm were monitored and blood pressure was measured every 2 minutes with a mercury sphygmomanometer. All patients were verbally encouraged to exercise to exhaustion, as defined by intolerable leg fatigue or dyspnea. Respiratory exchange ratio was also calculated.

Cardiopulmonary Measurements

The gas exchange measurements served to calculate VO₂ at peak exercise (VO₂p, mL/kg/min), and at anaerobic threshold (AT, mL/kg/min). The ventilatory response to exercise was calculated by the method of the least squares linear regression analysis, as the slope of VE vs. VCO₂ (VE/VCO₂ slope) from the beginning of exercise to anaerobic threshold, when the relationship is linear, using an appropriate computerized statistical program. The peak values for VO₂, VCO₂ and VE were calculated as the average of measurements during the 20-second period before exercise was terminated. AT was determined using the V-slope technique[18] and the result was confirmed graphically from a plot of ventilatory equivalent for oxygen (VE/VO₂) and carbon dioxide (VE/VCO₂) against time as well as pressure of end tidal O₂ (PetO₂) and pressure of end tidal CO₂ (PetCO₂). In order to examine oxygen uptake kinetics during the early recovery phase, the first-degree slope of oxygen uptake for the first minute of recovery (VO₂/t-slope) was calculated by a linear regression model using an appropriate computerized statistical program.[17]

Skeletal muscle biopsies

Percutaneous needle biopsies of the vastus lateralis muscle obtained within a week, before and after the completion of the program, but were separated from any type of exercise by at least 24 hours. The biopsies were performed at mid-thigh under local anesthesia with a method described by Bengstrom.[19] Samples were placed in embedding compound and immediately frozen in isopentane precooled to its freezing point. All samples were kept at -80°C until the day of analysis.

Fiber type distribution and size. Cryostat transverse sections were cut at -20°C and were
stained for myofibrillar adenosine triphosphatase after pre-incubation at pH values of 4.3, 4.7, and 10.4. Muscle fibers were determined as type I, slow twitch and type II, fast twitch. The specimens were examined using an Olympus/BX41 microscope and were photographed and analyzed with a program (analysis-getIT, 5.1). Determination of each muscle fiber type and the number of muscle fibers of each type was counted visually, as described previously, by a single blinded expert clinician. The muscle fiber cross section area (CSA) was determined with a computerized digitizing program (ImageJ, 1.45s, Wayne Rasband, NIH) by drawing along the edges of the fibers. Regions of a section were excluded from analysis if they contained oblique (which may overestimate CSA) or histologically abnormal fibers.

**Statistical Analysis**

All continuous variables are presented as mean±SD. Before analysis, all continuous variables were tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normal distribution. Individuals’ changes were compared by paired sample t-test and Wilcoxon signed rank test when there was non-normal distribution of data. The level of significance was set at P<.05. Correlations between variables were tested by Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

All statistical computations were made by the SPSS statistical package, version 20.0.0 (IBM SPSS Statistics, New York City, NY).

**RESULTS**

All patients finished the exercise training protocol without presenting with any adverse event. After 3 months of exercise training CSA of muscle fiber type I increased from 4509±1184 to 4862±1154 μm² (p<0.001) and fiber type II from 4408±1166 to 4937±1160 μm² (p<0.001). Aerobic type I muscle fibers increased significantly from 43±11 to 51±6 (p=0.05).

The percentage change of CSA of type I fibers was significantly correlated with the percentage change of WRp (r=0.814, p=0.004, Fig.1).

WRp, VO2p, AT, VEp and VO2/t-slope increased significantly (from 108±36 to 129±49 watts, p=0.008; from 17.7±4.2 to 19.7±4.6 ml/kg/min, p=0.024; from 12.0±3.3 to 13.5±4.1 ml/kg/min, p=0.05; from 67±20 to 83±25 l/min, p<0.001; from 0.61±0.29 to 0.76±0.43 L/min², p=0.038; respectively). VE/VCO₂ slope decreased significantly (from 35.1±8.0 to 31.3±5.7, p=0.045).

The changes of CPET measurements and skeletal muscle fiber distribution and CSA in HF patients according to the exercise training program are shown in Table 2.

**DISCUSSION**

This case study has shown that exercise training improves skeletal muscle fiber-type distribution and exercise capacity in patients with HF. Specifically, CSA of both types of muscle fibers increased and type I fibers’ proportion increased in patients that participated in interval exercise training protocols. There was also a significant correlation between the increase of type I fibers’ CSA and increase of WRp.

The increase of CSA has been previously shown even with low intensity exercise training programs. This finding is important for patients suffering from HF, since it implies that exercise can possibly counteract the excessive muscle atrophy presenting in this group of patients. The increase of CSA after exercise training indicates that exercise might have anabolic effects possibly via insulin-like growth factor 1 specific actions, as it is already known. Exercise training improves local insulin-like growth factor 1 expression without significant changes of systemic parameters of the growth hormone/insulin-like growth factor 1 axis.

In our study exercise training induced a reshift to aerobic type I fibers of HF patients. A previous study by Hambrecht et al has shown that...
continuous exercise training reversed the fiber type distribution of HF patients. The interval exercise training has been shown to increase percentage of type I fibers in an animal study by Luginbuhl et al. However, this is the first study to our knowledge to demonstrate that interval exercise training might change fiber type distribution in skeletal muscle of HF patients.

Muscle fibers are characterized as type I or II according to their oxidative capacity and therefore the increase of type I fibers probably means increased oxidative capacity of skeletal muscle after exercise training. This finding could be primarily explained by the increased oxidative capacity of mitochondria and by the improved mitochondrial function as indicated by the study of Wisloff et al., which showed that the protein levels of PGC-1a (factor coordinating mitochondrial biogenesis) and the maximal rate of Ca²⁺ reuptake into sarcoplasmatic reticulum by sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺-ATPase were increased after high intensity aerobic interval training. This increase was correlated with the improvement in VO₂p. Our finding could also be explained via the improved endothelial function and microcirculation of skeletal muscle after exercise training.

The training-induced increase in fibers' CSA area has been also found in relation to patients' aerobic capacity after resistant exercise training. In a previous study held in our Institute we demonstrated that the addition of strength training to an aerobic interval training protocol induced greater muscle strength without lean muscle mass changes. By the findings of the present study, it seems that muscle strength improvement could be due to skeletal muscle fiber CSA changes.

The correlation between the increase of type I fibers' area and the increase of WRp after aerobic exercise training might be partially explained by the fact that the improvement of functional capacity is mainly induced by the improvement of intrinsic muscular oxidative capacity. The increased muscle fiber area could contribute to the increased oxidative content of skeletal muscle. It has been previously shown that changes in skeletal muscle oxidative capacity are correlated with changes in exercise capacity. Additionally, aerobic capacity is correlated to type I fibers’ percentage and improvement of functional capacity after exercise training has been associated with changes in skeletal muscle oxidative capacity as assessed by cytochrome c oxidase-positive mitochondria, by ultrastructure and volume density of mitochondria and by factors associated with the biogenesis of mitochondria. The increased type I fibers’ CSA that was found in our study might contribute to increased oxidative capacity of muscle fiber and therefore increased functional capacity as assessed with WRp.

In our study type II fibers’ area increase was not correlated to the increase of WRp after exercise training. In a previous study was noted an inverse correlation between type IIb fibers and VO₂p, while type I fibers were correlated with VO₂p and thus aerobic fibers seemed to mainly affect aerobic capacity of HF patients.

This study indicates also that exercise training improves the aerobic capacity of HF patients. This finding is in agreement with earlier studies demonstrating the beneficial effects of exercise training on functional capacity, regardless of the different types of exercise that were investigated.

The main limitation of this study was the small number of patients which does not allow comparison between the three different types of exercise training. Further, larger, randomized studies are needed to confirm the preliminary findings of this case study. Another limitation of the study is that all participants were males limiting conclusions for female population.

In conclusion, this study implies that interval
exercise training improves the exercise capacity and could partially reverse skeletal muscle fiber-type distribution of HF patients. High intensity interval training constitutes a training modality for HF patients, which possibly induces pronounced beneficial skeletal muscle changes.
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ANNEX

Table 1. Baseline clinical characteristics in HF patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>AG</th>
<th>AK</th>
<th>AA</th>
<th>GM</th>
<th>GD</th>
<th>KD</th>
<th>KF</th>
<th>BK</th>
<th>TG</th>
<th>DA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class</td>
<td>II-III</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>II-III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I-II</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF etiology</td>
<td>Non ICM</td>
<td>ICM</td>
<td>Non ICM</td>
<td>ICM</td>
<td>Non ICM</td>
<td>ICM</td>
<td>Non ICM</td>
<td>ICM</td>
<td>Non ICM</td>
<td>ICM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-blockers</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticoagulants</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylsalicylic acid/Clopidogrel</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amiodarone</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-inhib./ARB</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spironolactone</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF, %</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCWP, mmHg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPAP, mmHg</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin, g/dl</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mg/dl</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICM=Ischemic Cardiomyopathy, BMI=Body Mass Index, NYHA=New York Heart Association, HF=Heart Failure, ACE=Angiotensin converting enzyme, ARB=Angiotensin receptor blocker, LVEF=Left ventricular ejection fraction, PCWP=Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, mPAP=Mean pulmonary artery pressure.
Table 2. Change of cardiopulmonary exercise test measurements and muscle fiber type distribution in HF patients after cardiopulmonary rehabilitation program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients’ initials</th>
<th>Type of exercise</th>
<th>VO2p (Change %)</th>
<th>VO2p(DF), L/min</th>
<th>AT (Change %)</th>
<th>AT1(DF), L/min</th>
<th>WRp(Change %)</th>
<th>VE/VCO2 slope (Change %)</th>
<th>VEp (Change %)</th>
<th>Ratio fiber type I (DF), %</th>
<th>Ratio fiber type II (DF), %</th>
<th>CSA fiber type I (Change %)</th>
<th>CSA fiber type II (Change %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AG</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KD</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF</td>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GD</td>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK</td>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Type 1: High intensity interval training = 3 min 50% VO2p → 4 *(4 min 80% VO2p/ 3 min 50% VO2p), Duration of session: 31 min, 3 times/week, 3 months
Type 2: High intensity interval and strength training = 3 min 50% VO2p → 2 *(4 min 80% VO2p/ 3 min 50% VO2p), Duration of session: 17 min + 14 min strength training (4 set x10-12 reps) at 60-70 %1 repetition maximum, Duration of session: 31 min, 3 times/week, 3 months
Type 3: Aerobic interval training = 100% of baseline WRp, alternating 30s of exercise with 30s of rest, for 40 min, 3 times/week, 3 months
% Change = [Variable] post – [Variable] pro/[Variable] pro * 100
Data expressed change from baseline values. VO2p=Peak oxygen uptake, AT1=Anaerobic threshold, WRp=Peak work rate, VE/VCO2 slope= The slope of ventilatory equivalent for carbon dioxide output, VEp=Peak minute ventilation, CSA=Cross section area
Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Scattergram of percentage change of cross section area of type I fibers and percentage change of peak work rate.
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