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Abstract

Objective: Although progress has been made in developing effective interventions that change physical activity behaviors, much work remains in understanding how interventions exert their effects. The purpose of this study was to examine psychosocial mediators of change in physical activity resulting from a group-based, behavioral, physical activity intervention (Active Living Every Day) in older adults.

Methods: This study was a randomized controlled trial carried out in 2015. Participants (n=47) completed a survey assessing demographic and psychosocial variables, and wore an Actigraph at baseline and 12 weeks (post-intervention). A multiple mediator model, testing social support (family and friends separately), self-efficacy, and self-regulation as mediators of change in physical activity was conducted.

Results: Results showed that the intervention was not successful in changing the targeted mediators, and changes in mediators were not associated with changes in physical activity. None of the variables examined were significant mediators of change in physical activity.

Conclusion: Despite significant changes in physical activity, the mechanisms by which the changes occurred are unknown. Potential explanations and implications of the null findings are discussed. Continued efforts focused on understanding how behavioral interventions exert their effects on physical activity outcomes are needed in an effort to develop the most efficacious and cost-effective interventions aimed at improving health.

Keywords: Physical activity; Older adults; Mediation; Intervention; Health promotion; Public health; Exercise

Introduction

Poor health behaviors (e.g. smoking, poor diet, physical inactivity) are known as the leading causes of actual death in the United States [1]. Given the relationship between poor health behaviors and chronic disease, one potential way for reducing the burden of chronic diseases is by improving health behaviors [2]. A number of effective approaches and strategies for improving health behaviors have been identified [2,3] although given the high rates of chronic diseases [4,5] and poor health behaviors [6,7] among US adults, much work remains. One approach that has successfully increased physical activity levels is behavioral interventions. These types of programs incorporate cognitive-behavioral strategies that focus on changing an individual’s thoughts, behaviors, and circumstances [3].

Understanding the effectiveness of an intervention in exerting its effects on the outcome(s) of interest (i.e., physical activity) is undoubtedly important. However, perhaps just as important, is understanding how interventions exert their effects (i.e., the mechanisms by which behavior change occurs). Mediation analyses allow researchers to better understand the ‘how’ aspect of behavior changes, [8] and should be included as a part of all intervention evaluations. Theory should be used to guide behavior change interventions, as theories help explain behavior and can be used to develop ways to change behavior [9]. Theoretical constructs (e.g. social support, self-regulation, process of change, intention, etc.) are often the targets of intervention activities and are tested as mediators of behavior change. Such information is critical for developing interventions that can have the greatest potential to impact population health.

Although significant progress has been made in understanding how physical activity interventions exert their effects, [10-21] much work remains. Many theoretical constructs have been tested as mediators of change and results are not consistent. Self-efficacy, [10,16,20] Social support, [10] goal setting, [16,19] and behavioral processes [15,21] have been found to mediate change in physical activity behavior in some studies, although other studies have not
found support for some of these constructs [12,13,16,19]. It is important to note that the samples included in these studies are quite diverse (i.e., older adults, pregnant women, cancer survivors, healthy populations). The mechanisms by which physical activity behavior change occurs may differ across these samples, potentially explaining some of the inconsistent findings. Therefore, it is important to study mediators across diverse populations in an effort to develop the most appropriate, tailored and effective programs for the population targeted. Very few mediation studies have been conducted with older adult populations [10,11].

The purpose of this paper is to investigate psychosocial mediators of physical activity change in a sample of older adults participating in a behavioral physical activity intervention. Active Living Every Day (ALED) is a 12-week, evidence-based physical activity intervention delivered in a small-group setting. Results from the overall trial showed a significant increase in objectively measured moderate to vigorous intensity physical activity (MVPA) in the ALED compared to a nutrition control group. We hypothesize that social support from family and friends, self-efficacy and self-regulation would be significant mediators of change in MVPA.

Design and Methods

Participants

Inactive older adults were recruited to take part in this study. Eligibility criteria included ≥ 50 years of age, not meeting physical activity guidelines (<150 minutes of moderate and/or <75 minutes of vigorous physical activity per week), and did not endorse any questions on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PAR-Q). However, participants were not excluded if they took medication for hypertension, unless it was uncontrolled hypertension (≥ 160/100). Participants who were >69 years of age or endorsed any of the PAR-Q questions could participate if signed physician clearance was obtained.

A number of strategies were used to recruit participants, including announcements and emails to members of a University Learning Institute for older adults, emails via worksite listserv, flyers distributed at community health fairs and events, and newspaper ads. Participants interested in the study completed a phone screener (in person or via telephone) to assess eligibility.

Procedure

Eligible participants were scheduled for a measurement session that took place at Saginaw Valley State University. At the session, participants signed an informed consent document approved by the University’s Institutional Review Board, completed a survey and physical measures, and were given an Actigraph to wear for seven days. Participants were also randomized to one of two intervention groups: the physical activity group (Active Living Every Day ALED) or to the attention control nutrition group (Healthy Eating Every Day HEED). The survey, physical measures, and Actigraph procedures were repeated at the follow-up session (12 weeks, post intervention). The interventions were implemented in two waves, with each group (ALED and HEED) being led by a separate, trained facilitator.

Interventions

Active living every day (ALED): Participants randomized to the physical activity intervention received the ALED program, which is a 12-week, physical activity intervention delivered in a small-group setting. This evidence-based program incorporates constructs from the social cognitive theory [22] and transtheoretical model [23] in an effort to help participants engage in 150 minutes of moderate intensity physical activity per week. Structured exercise sessions were not a part of this intervention; instead, a lifestyle approach was emphasized.

Group sessions were held one time a week for 60 minutes, and were led by a trained facilitator. Each week focused on a behavioral strategy that would assist participants in becoming and remaining physically active. Examples of topics included goal setting, self-monitoring, reinforcement management, problem solving, social support, and relapse prevention. Starting in week 2, participants kept a daily log to self-monitor their physical activity, and during week 8, they received a pedometer and were instructed to self-monitor their steps throughout the duration of the intervention. Participants also set weekly goals (minutes of physical activity or steps). They were encouraged to set realistic, attainable goals, and to start small in an effort to enhance self-efficacy. The small-group delivery of the program allowed for social support from both the facilitator and other participants. Participants ‘checked in’ at the beginning of each group-based session, discussed challenges, if they met their physical activity goals, etc. Participants were encouraged by both the facilitator and other participants.

Healthy eating every day: Participants randomized to the attention control group received the HEED program. Although originally developed as a 20-week program, a shortened 14-week program is also available. In order to make the program a consistent length with ALED, the 14-week program was shortened into a 12-week program (per guidelines by the publisher). The HEED program was delivered in the same format as the ALED program by a trained facilitator. The goal of the program was to help participants improve their eating habits, in an effort to attain and maintain public health recommendations for nutrition. Similar to ALED, a number of behavioral strategies were incorporated in the weekly sessions, and a lifestyle approach for improving dietary habits was used.

Measures: All measures were completed at baseline (prior to randomization) and at 12 weeks (post-intervention).

Demographics: Participants self-reported their age, gender, race, marital status, and education level.

Body mass index: Objectively measured height (quarter inch) and weight (tenth of a pound) were obtained by trained staff. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as kg/m².
Physical activity: The Actigraph accelerometer (GT3x, Actigraph, LLC and Fort Walton Beach, FL) was used to objectively measure physical activity. Participants were instructed to wear the monitor on their right hip, during all waking hours (except while in water) for seven days. A 60-second epoch was used. In order to be included in analyses, participants had to wear the monitor for a minimum 10 hours per day, on three days [24]. Data recording 60 minutes or more of consecutive zeros were removed from analyses, as it was assumed the participant was not wearing the monitor [25]. The cut points from Freedson et al. [26] were used to convert the raw data counts into minutes of specific intensities of physical activity. Counts ≥ 1952 were considered MVPA. Mean minutes of MVPA per day were calculated and used in this study.

Social support: Social support for physical activity from family and friends in the past three months were measured (separately) using the 10-item Sallis et al. scale [27]. On a scale from 1 (none) to 5 (very often), participants rated how often they received support in various forms from family or friends for being physically active (e.g. gave me helpful reminders to exercise, changed their schedule so we could exercise together).

Self-efficacy: Self-efficacy for physical activity in the past six months was measured using a modified version of the 12-item Sallis et al. scale [28]. On a scale from 1 (not at all confident) to 4 (very confident), participants rated how confident they were that they could be physically active when encountering common barriers (e.g. get up early, even on weekends, to exercise, stick to your exercise program when you have household chores to attend to).

Self-regulation: Self-regulation for physical activity over the past four weeks was measured with the 12-item Umstattd et al. scale [29]. On a scale from 1 (never) to 5 (very often), participants rated how often they used various self-regulatory strategies for engaging in physical activity (e.g. I set short term goals for how often I am active, I rearranged my schedule to ensure I had time for physical activity).

Results
A total of 72 participants were randomized; of these, 47 had post data and were included in these analyses (65%). Those included in analyses were more educated than those not included (p=0.03). Demographic characteristics of the sample, by intervention group assignment are shown in Table 1. Participants, on average, were 64.2 ± 8.2 years old and had a BMI of 34.2 ± 7.5 kg/m². A majority of participants were female (72%), White (85%), had at least some college education (87%) and married (62%). A greater percentage of participants in the healthy eating group were married compared to the healthy activity group (p=0.01). Correlations among mediator and outcome variables are shown in Table 2.

Results from the mediation analyses are shown in Table 3. There was no significant relationship between group assignment and changes in any of the hypothesized mediators (a path). There was a trend towards significance for the association between changes in social support from family (p=0.07) and changes in self-regulation for physical activity (p=0.07) and changes in MVPA (β path). There was no evidence of significant mediation for any of the mediators examined: social support from family (indirect effect=-0.04; 95% CI, -0.37, 0.07), social support from friends (indirect effect=-0.004; 95% CI, -0.13, 0.06), self-efficacy for physical activity (indirect effect=-0.05; 95% CI, -0.06, 0.40) and self-regulation for physical activity (indirect effect=-0.11; 95% CI, -0.45, 0.06).
Discussion

Finding effective ways to promote physical activity in older adults is important, given the aging population [31]. Older adults have an increased risk of chronic health conditions which can negatively affect quality of life, independence and cost of health care; [32] physical activity may help alleviate some of the burdens associated with aging. Although a number of interventions have been developed and implemented in an effort to increase physical activity in older adults, very few studies have examined how these interventions exert their effects.

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of participants (n=47).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Exercise Group</th>
<th>Nutrition Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean (SD) or %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>64.1 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/partnered</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not married</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate or less</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College graduate</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>34.5 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight (BMI&lt;25)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>(25°BMI&lt;30)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (BMI ≥ 30)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>59.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This study examined psychosocial mediators of a 12-week, behavioral physical activity intervention that resulted in significant increases in MVPA. Interestingly, none of the variables tested were found to be significant mediators of change in MVPA. It appears that other variables, not measured in this study, may have caused the changes in physical activity. For example, behavioral processes of change (transtheoretical model), which have been shown to mediate change in physical activity in other studies, [15,21,33] were not assessed in this study. Although social support, self-efficacy and self-regulation were not found to be significant mediators in this study, the importance of these variables in changing older adults’ physical activity should not be discounted. The sample size of this pilot study was small, potentially limiting power to detect significant relationships. A fully powered trial may provide more insight into what causes changes in physical activity in group-based interventions with older adults.

Table 2 Correlation matrix of mediator and outcome variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in MVPA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in social support-friends</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in social support-family</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in self-efficacy for physical activity</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in self-regulation for physical activity</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant difference between groups, p<0.05

Mediation analyses are valuable, as they allow researchers to explore how effective the intervention was in (1) changing the theoretical constructs targeted (i.e., mediators), and (2) if changes in those theoretical constructs were associated with changes in the outcomes. The results from this study indicate that the intervention may not have been effective in changing any of the hypothesized mediators. Although evidence-based programs such as ALED can be adapted to the targeted population, one must assure implementation fidelity; therefore the content and overall philosophy of the group-based sessions should not be changed. It is possible that the content included in the group-based sessions was not delivered effectively or intensely enough for changes in the mediators to occur. Alternatively, it is possible that the intervention did change the mediators, but the measures used were unable to accurately capture change or were not sensitive enough to change [34]. This may be particularly relevant to social support. An important piece of this intervention was support from the group facilitator and other participants in the intervention. Although social support from family and friends was assessed, participants may not have considered the facilitator or other group members as ‘friends’. Therefore, changes in perceived support from these individuals may have been missed.

Much work remains in how to effectively design intervention components/activities that can successfully change the underpinning theoretical variables hypothesized to change physical activity, as many studies examining mediators of physical activity change have failed to change the targeted mediators [35]. A recent review by Prestwich and colleagues [36] found that although over half of intervention studies
focused on physical activity or healthy eating (56%) reported that the intervention was based on theory, theory was rarely used to extensively develop the intervention, and few studies targeted all of the theoretical constructs, or linked the behavior change techniques used to the underlying theoretical constructs [36]. These findings may in part explain why many interventions have not been successful in changing mediating variables.

Table 3 Results from the mediation models: Change in MVPA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path coefficients</th>
<th>Estimate (SE)</th>
<th>Estimate (SE)</th>
<th>Estimate (SE)</th>
<th>Estimate (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α path (group→mediator)</td>
<td>-0.08 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.11 (0.21)</td>
<td>0.22 (-0.18)</td>
<td>-0.29 (0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β path (mediator→MVPA)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.15)</td>
<td>-0.36 (0.19)</td>
<td>-0.24 (0.29)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indirect and direct effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimate (SE) 95% CI</th>
<th>Estimate (SE) 95% CI</th>
<th>Estimate (SE) 95% CI</th>
<th>Estimate (SE) 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aβ (group→mediator→MVPA)</td>
<td>-0.004 (0.04)</td>
<td>-0.04 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total indirect</td>
<td>-0.13, 0.06</td>
<td>-0.37, 0.07</td>
<td>-0.06, 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct effect</td>
<td>-0.598 (0.23)</td>
<td>-1.04, -0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All models adjusted for age, gender, and education; Unstandardized coefficients and standard errors are presented; Log transformation of MVPA was used in analyses; Bold indicates significant pathway, indirect, or direct effect.

Changes in the mediators were not significantly associated with changes in MVPA, which not surprising is given the fact that the intervention was not successful in producing changes in the mediators (i.e., if mediators do not change, one would not expect changes to be related to outcomes). However, there was a trend towards significance for social support from family and self-regulation, perhaps pointing to the importance of these variables in changing physical activity behaviors in older adults, and the appropriateness of the theoretical underpinnings of the ALED program. Self-regulation, particularly self-monitoring, has been shown to be effective in increasing physical activity, [37] and should continue to be explored in future mediation analyses.

Although the ALED program is an evidence-based program shown to be effective in changing physical activity (and is supported by the outcomes in this study), mediators of change in the ALED program have not been readily studied [10]. Although one can speculate, the mechanisms by which the program actually ‘works’ are unknown. A study by Becosky et al. [10] found that social support and self-efficacy mediated changes in physical activity in a large sample of adults ≥ 50 years of age. However, it is important to note that this study did not have a control group; instead, they examined if social support and self-efficacy mediated the relationship between attendance at ALED group sessions and changes in physical activity. The lack of mediation studies conducted in older adult samples, [10,11] and the inconsistent findings in understanding how interventions exert their effects, [33,35,38] confirm the need for future research. Not only should studies report the effects of the intervention on targeted outcomes, but they should also report results from mediation analyses. However, this can be challenging in community-based interventions, as there is a fine balance between appropriately measuring all variables/outcomes at multiple time points, while also considering participant burden. Furthermore, studies with null findings [12,13,18,39] should also be published, as they contribute significantly to our overall understanding of how we can develop and implement more effective physical activity interventions.

Although insightful, some limitations should be considered when interpreting the findings of this study. These include the small sample size of this pilot-study (underpowered to detect mediation effects), the high attrition rate, and demographic characteristics of our sample (mostly white, well-educated, females), which may limited the generalizability of our findings. Limitations withstanding, this study contributes to the small number of studies examining mediators of physical activity change in older adult populations, and is among the small number of mediation studies using objective measures of physical activity.

Conclusion

As health professionals and educators continue to develop and implement interventions aimed at improving health behaviors such as physical activity across various populations, efforts to better understand how/why these programs are successful must also continue. Each published mediation study contributes to the growing knowledge base of understanding the ‘how’ and ultimately moves us one step closer to developing the most effective, targeted, cost-effective, and appropriate interventions for various populations trying to improve their health by increasing physical activity.
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