The Evaluation and prevalence of foot problems among Iranian students using “alfoots” company scanner
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Abstract
Background: Foot problems, especially flat foot, are one of the most important reasons for children to refer to foot clinics. Misdiagnosis has serious complications for the patients in future. Studies carried out so far have used non precise methods such as observation to evaluate foot abnormalities. The aim of the present study was to determine the exact prevalence of foot problems in Iranian primary school students and to compare our results with the previous studies.

Method and Material: It was a cross-sectional study performed between February 2009 and February 2013. 1652 students were recruited from the primary schools in Tehran and were analyzed using alfoots company scanner. Results: 830(50.3%) out of 1652 students had foot problems. 789 out of 830 students (48%) were diagnosed as flat foot.

Conclusions: We reported a higher prevalence of flat foot in comparison to the previous studies. These differences can be attributed to methodologies. Our method is precise and non-time consuming in comparison to the methods used in other studies. Because of the serious secondary complications resulting from misdiagnosis of flat foot, it is better to use new technologies to evaluate foot problems.
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Introduction
All children are born with flat foot and are universally flat-footed when they start walking.¹,² Foot abnormalities of children are always considered as a confusing puzzle for their parents. Young children and adolescents refer to pediatrics to evaluate their feet and gait abnormalities. Among foot problems, flat foot is the most common. Parents are worry about the flat appearance of their child’s feet. The most commonly questions they concern are: “Does the foot problems get worse in adulthood?” and “Are the deformities correctable with orthosis or medical shoes?”, if so, how long does the problem take to be alleviated? Foot abnormalities potentially cause major secondary problems. Excessive stretching and transferring weight to lateral edge of foot to compensate flat foot result in secondary complications such as: hammer toe, plantar warts, heel spur, overstretching of medial longitudinal arch, joint laxity, foot imbalances, early fatigue, and feeling pain at hip, knee, and shin during physical activities.³⁻⁶ Cohen-Sobel⁷ and Amico et al.,⁸ implied that flat foot may cause gait disorders in the future.

Several epidemiologic studies were performed all round the world about the prevalence of foot problems. The flat foot incidence was 23% in Canada and 0.6 % in Niger.⁹⁻¹² Early diagnosis of the problems, before the skeletal maturation, prevents skeletal abnormalities, potential injuries, and pain distribution at low back and knee. Also, secondary ligamentous and cartilaginous injuries can be preventable.¹³ Another common foot
problem is pes cavus. Patients refer to podiatric clinics between the age of 8 and 12 years. The most common causes are musculoskeletal abnormalities like cerebral palsy (CP) and polio, lipomeningocele, foot fractures, tumors, and trauma to sciatic nerve. The problem can also be idiopathic. If the problem diagnosed as a mild deformity, conservative treatments such as medical shoes and insoles are prescribed to treat the problem.\textsuperscript{14, 15}

Several epidemiologic studies have been carried out in Iran about the incidence of flat foot. Emami et al.\textsuperscript{9} reported the incidence of 35.7\% in primary school boys in Shiraz. All of the performed studies applied elementary and imprecise methods such as observation or used indices which are completely observational-based like Tachdjian method. Stamp-based methods known as foot prints have been also used.\textsuperscript{16} The major limitation of the previous methods is that they were time-consuming and not reliable. Nowadays there are precise methods for evaluating foot problems like computer-based scanner of “Alfoots” company. It is a friendly-user method provides researchers with invaluable data about foot abnormalities. We decided to evaluate the incidence of flat foot using 2-D scanner apparatus of “Alfoots” company for the first time in Iran and to compare our findings with previous studies.

Methods and Materials

It was a cross sectional study conducted between February 2009 and February 2013. 1652 students at age 7-12 years were recruited from Tehran primary schools. Our randomization method was stratified simple randomization. After taking the list of all primary schools of Tehran, the candidate schools were randomly selected from the list. Stratification was used to be sure about the normal distribution of primary schools from different regions of Tehran. Before assessing the students, an informed consent was taken from their parents. The results of the evaluation were sent to parents.

Our exclusion criteria were as follows:

1- musculoskeletal problems
2- history of lower extremity fracture
3- history of lower extremity surgeries
4- history of polio, CP, myopathy, rachitism, and thyroid abnormalities

Alfoot 2-D scanner (GAIT VIEW, alfoots Co. Ltd., South Korea) includes a flat plate called “gait view”. In addition to foot problems, the scanner evaluates gait abnormalities, postural deficiencies, and balance abnormalities. The gait view is three mm height and designed ergonomically. It is portable and low weight (4.8 kg). The dimensions are depicted in figure 1. (fig.1). It is the thinnest designed plate all round the world.

The apparatus assessment is carried out both dynamically and statically. Foot abnormalities were evaluated statically and center of gravity (CoG) was assessed as the dependent variable. Body mass should be distributed equally on both feet during quiet standing on the plate. Pressure points of different regions of sole are depicted using five different colors; each represented a certain amount of pressure. The colors are compared with those of normal data. The pressure points can be presented in a 3-D manner as well (fig.2).

To evaluate foot problems, arms should be kept constant at the side of body. Obtained data were compared with normal ones and abnormality was diagnosed.

SPSS (ver.18, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL USA) was used to analyze data. Descriptive analysis of data was used in our study.

Results

1652 students from the primary schools of Tehran aged 7 to 12 years old were recruited to
participate in the study. Eight hundred and thirty children were suffering from foot abnormalities (50.3%). Most of them (789 cases) were diagnosed as flat foot (48%). 95% of the participants diagnosed with a kind of foot abnormalities suffered from flat foot. 41 of cases were diagnosed as pes cavus (≈2.5%). In our study, we evaluated the two commonest abnormalities: flat foot and pes cavus.

Discussion

Foot is predisposed to various abnormalities. Among them, flat foot and pes cavus are more prevalent but flat foot is the most common problem. Pes cavus occurs secondary to complications like CP or spinal cord diseases. So, the flat foot has been the focus of most studies. Undiagnosis or misdiagnosis of flat foot has serious secondary complications especially later in life. Most studies have applied non-precise & primary approaches. Emami et al., evaluated flat feet prevalence in 812 primary school boys of Shiraz. The applied method was the “Tachdjian” method. They reported that the prevalence of flat feet was 35.7 %. Tachdjian method is based on observation solely. Kamali et al., investigated the flat feet prevalence in 1132 primary, guidance, and high school students in Babol. They used “foot print” method which is a stamp-based method. The prevalence was reported as 11.8%. Foot print method is an ancient and non-precise method in comparison to our method. Also, it is time-consuming as implied in Kamali’s study.

Sadeghi and Azadi nia studied the flat feet prevalence among 671 primary and guidance school students in Isfahan. The age range was 7-14 years old. They used an uneven plastic board with a carbon sheet above. After standing on carbon sheet, the trace area of foot on another sheet was calculated. An arch index was then deduced. The prevalence of flat feet was reported as 23.5%.

There was a difference in the prevalence of flat foot in comparison to previous studies. The observed difference can be attributed to different methods of measurement. Other studies used non-precise and non-reliable indices and methods in comparison to new technologies like alfoots. Most the methods were time-consuming as well.

The benefits of our method were as follows:

1. It is not time-consuming in contrast to other evaluation methods.
2. It is a computer-based assessment method which is more valid and reliable in comparison to observational-based methods.
3. In contrary to the previous studies which were capable to evaluate just one kind of foot abnormalities, it can represent different kinds of foot abnormalities.
4. It is a portable apparatus.

Milenkovic et al., investigated the incidence of flat foot among 228 high school student using a modern computerized podoscope known as Pedic. Flat foot was determined in 48.7% of the participants. Our study is in agreement with them.
as the obtained incidences of flat foot are approximately the same.

Pfeiffer et al., 10 investigated the prevalence of flat foot in 835 Austrian pre-school aged children. Children’s feet were scanned using a 3D laser surface scanner. The prevalence of flat foot was 44%. It is in line with our study and demonstrated that applying new technologies resulted in higher reported prevalence of flat foot.

The observed difference between reported percentage of studies using newer technologies and the previous ones cannot be attributed to chance. Using conventional methods would result in underestimation of the prevalence of flat foot and its serious secondary complications like gait disorders.

Our study had some limitations. The major limitation was the lack of control group. If we had control group, direct comparison among various methods have been possible simultaneously. Because of time limitation of the students, it was not possible to apply other measurement methods. Because of the novelty of the used method, head of schools were worried about the safety problems of the apparatus and it took us a long time to have their satisfaction and get informed consent of the parents of students. Also we did not differentiate between flexible and rigid flat foot.

More recently a number of observational assessment scales such as Foot Posture Index (FPI) and Paediatric Flat Foot Froforma (pFFP) have been developed to address the issue of poor reliability of foot measures but their validity and reliability is not studied yet. 21, 22 It would be favorable to determine the correlation between these assessment scales and new technologies for investigating flat foot.

Conclusion

Early diagnosis of flat feet is necessary issue. Reviewing the secondary complications of the problem, clarifies the importance of misdiagnosis. Early diagnosis can be provided using novel and precise technologies like alfoots.
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fig.1: Dimensions of gait view plate
fig2: color scale distribution & 3-D visualization of alfoot scanner